

Title: No God condones what?
Author: Chuck Colson
Date: 10 Feb 2009
Source: <http://www.breakpoint.org/listingarticle.asp?ID=7176>

At the National Prayer Breakfast last week, President Obama seemed to signal that he has seen the light and is abandoning his radically pro-abortion agenda.

At least, that's the only reasonable conclusion one could make after hearing the President, who says he's a Christian, also say: "There is no God who condones taking the life of an innocent human being. This much we know."

So I could only surmise that the President now concludes that "no God" would condone the 1.6 million abortions performed each year in America: 1.6 million innocent lives destroyed.

But I've checked the White House website, and it's very clear that God's disapproval hasn't changed the administration's agenda one bit.

Here's what the White House website says: "President Obama understands that abortion is a divisive issue, and respects those who disagree with him. However, he has been a consistent champion of reproductive choice and will make preserving women's rights under *Roe v. Wade* a priority in his Administration."

Well, in one way I'm glad I wasn't at the breakfast this year—I was speaking instead at Moody—because I'm not sure I would have been able to stay in my seat.

How can a President of the United States say that "there is no God who condones taking the life of an innocent human being," when he himself favors a woman's right to have an abortion under virtually every circumstance? How can he say that when, as an Illinois state senator, he voted against the Illinois Induced Infant Liability Act, which would have protected the lives of babies who survived late-term abortions? When he even had the audacity to describe the act as "One more burden on a woman . . . I can't support."

President Obama is a highly intelligent man with a huge job on his hands. I know what the White House is like, and I pray for him fervently every day. But how does such an intelligent man make a statement like this without understanding its implications for his own pro-abortion policies?

The only way to explain it is to understand the intellectual environment, called postmodernism, in which President Obama and his peers have been raised. Generations of Americans have now been taught that truth is subjective. You have your truth, I have mine. And, even worse, I can't "inflict" my version of truth on you. The law of non-contradiction has been suspended.

So politicians can tell us over and over that they can't allow their personal faith to affect their views on public policy. Or they can take two completely opposing positions at the same time: like believing that no God condones the taking of innocent life and at the same time, condoning—even promoting—the taking of an innocent life.

The problem isn't simply President Obama and his views on life; the problem is a postmodern culture which believes that truth is merely a matter of opinion, and that therefore the sanctity of innocent human life is simply an expression of one viewpoint among many.

I have argued for the last 20 years that postmodernism would lead to the unraveling of our society. The fact that so few noticed the contradiction in what the President said and the policies he pursues tells me that we're far along in the unraveling process.

For Further Reading and Information

[Read more](#) about President Obama's remarks at the National Prayer Breakfast.

Amanda Carpenter, [Obama More Pro-Choice Than Naral](#), *HumanEvents.com*, 26 December 2006.

Bryan Kemper, [Barack Obama and the Difference Between Pro-Choice and Pro-Abortion](#), *LifeNews.com*, 12 November 2008.

"[Good Vibes, Bad Vibes](#)," BreakPoint Commentary, 27 January 2009.

"[Human Dignity](#)," BreakPoint Commentary, 26 December 2008.

"[No Life, No Justice](#)," BreakPoint Commentary, 25 July 2008.

"[Inescapable Consequences](#)," BreakPoint Commentary, 22 September 2006